did not result in resolution of all-issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
At Unit James H. Alstrum-Acevedo 1616 All Participants: Status of Application: Allowed (1) James H. Alstrum-Acevedo (3)	Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/613,639	
All Participants: (1) James H. Alstrum-Acevedo. (2) Ms. Hana Vermy, J. D. Date of Interview: (2) Telephonic (3)			
(1) James H. Alstrum-Acevedo. (3)		James H. Alstrum-Acevedo	1616
C2 Ms. Hana Verny, J. D.	All Participants:	Status of Application:	Allowed
Date of Interview: 20 December 2006 Time: -3:30 pm EST Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: None Claims discussed: 12, 13, 15, 21-25, 27, and 29. Prior art documents discussed: None Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant or provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant or provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	(1) James H. Alstrum-Acevedo.	(3)	
Type of Interview: Telephonic Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: None Claims discussed: 12, 13, 15, 21-25, 27, and 29. Prior art documents discussed: None Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	(2) Ms. Hana Verny, J. D.	(4)	
Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: None Claims discussed: 12, 13, 15, 21-25, 27, and 29. Prior art documents discussed: None Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directive win the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all-issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Date of Interview: 20 December 2006	Time: ~3:30 pm EST	
Rejection(s) discussed: None Claims discussed: 12, 13, 15, 21-25, 27, and 29. Prior art documents discussed: None Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all-issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	 ☑ Telephonic ☑ Video Conference ☑ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☑ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes 		•
Claims discussed: 12, 13, 15, 21-25, 27, and 29. Prior art documents discussed: None Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all-issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Part I.		
Prior art documents discussed: None Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all-issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	•		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all-issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all-issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		•	
Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all-issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Part II.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all-issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		E GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT V	WAS DISCUSSED:
directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all-issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Part III.		
	directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a second control of the application.	ation. The examiner will provide a vertice of the substance of	written summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/Applicant's Representativ	e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Ms. Hana Verny, J. D. to obtain permission for Examiner's amendments to put the instant application in condition for allowance (see Examiner's Amendment). Ms. Verny granted permission to the Examiner for the proposed amendments..

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/613,639	MONTGOMERY, ALAN BRUCE	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James H. Alstrum-Acevedo	1616	
All Participants:	Status of Application: Allo	<u>owed</u>	
(1) James H. Alstrum-Acevedo.	(3)		
(2) <u>Ms. Hana Verny, J. D.</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 21 December 2006	Time: ~7:00 pm EST		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: 15 and 27			
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
·	·		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	t/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Attorney Verny to obtain permission for an Examiner's amendment to claim 15 to make the claim language more concise and easier to read and to claim 27 to clarify the amount of allowable impurities in the composition claimed. Ms. Verny approved the proposed amendments (See Examiner's Answer)..